Inverse problems of spectral analysis for the Sturm-Liouville operator with regular boundary conditions

Alexander Makin

arXiv:math/0602584v1 [math.SP] 26 Feb 2006

Abstract. We consider the Sturm-Liouville operator $Lu = u'' - q(x)u$ defined on $(0, \pi)$ with regular but not strongly regular boundary conditions. Under some supplementary assumptions we prove that the set of potentials $q(x)$ that ensure an asymptotically multiple spectrum is everywhere dense in $L_1(0, \pi)$.

In the present paper we consider eigenvalue problems for the Sturm-Liouville equation

$$u'' - q(x)u + \lambda u = 0 \tag{1}$$

with two-point boundary conditions

$$B_i(u) = a_{i1}u'(0) + a_{i2}u'(\pi) + a_{i3}u(0) + a_{i4}u(\pi) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $B_i(u)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are linearly independent forms with arbitrary complex-valued coefficients. Function $q(x)$ is an arbitrary complex-valued function of class $L_2(0, \pi)$.

It is convenient to write conditions (2) in the matrix form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \end{pmatrix}$$

and denote the matrix composed of the ith and jth columns of A $(1 \leq i < j \leq 4)$ by $A(ij)$; we set $A_{ij} = det\ A(ij)$. Let the boundary conditions (2) be regular but not strongly regular [1, pp. 71-73], which, by [1, p. 73] is equivalent to the conditions

$$A_{12} = 0, \quad A_{14} + A_{23} \neq 0, \quad A_{14} + A_{23} = \mp(A_{13} + A_{24}). \tag{3}$$

To investigate this class of problems it is appropriate [2] to divide conditions (2) satisfying (3) into 4 types:

I) $A_{14} = A_{23}$, $A_{34} = 0$;
II) $A_{14} = A_{23}$, $A_{34} \neq 0$;
III) $A_{14} \neq A_{23}$, $A_{34} = 0$;
IV) $A_{14} \neq A_{23}$, $A_{34} \neq 0$.

An eigenvalue problem for equation (1) with boundary conditions of type I, II, III or IV is called a problem of type I, II, III, IV, respectively. It is shown [2] that any boundary conditions of type I are equivalent to the boundary conditions specified by matrix A, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad or \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

i.e. to periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions. Inverse problems for this case have been studied well (see, for instance, [3], [4]).

It also shown in [2] that any boundary conditions of type II are equivalent to the boundary conditions specified by matrix A, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad or \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & a_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and in both cases $a_{14} \neq 0$. If a_{14} is a real number and $q(x)$ is a real-valued function, then the corresponding boundary value problem is selfadjoint [2]. For this case inverse problem was studied in [5], [6].

Exhausting description of boundary conditions of type III and IV is given in [2]. In particular, it is known that all of them are nonselfadjoint. The main purpose of this paper is to investigate inverse problems generated by boundary conditions of type III or IV.

Denote by $c(x, \mu)$, $s(x, \mu)$ $(\lambda = \mu^2)$ a fundamental system of solutions to the equation (1) with the boundary conditions $c(0, \mu) = s'(0, \mu) = 1$, $c'(0, \mu) = s(0, \mu) = 0$. The following identity is well known

$$c(x, \mu)s'(x, \mu) - c'(x, \mu)s(x, \mu) = 1. \tag{4}$$

Suppose condition (3) holds. Then simple computations show that the characteristic equation of any problem (1)+(2) can be reduced to the form $\Delta(\mu)=0$, where

$$\Delta(\mu)=(-1)^{\theta+1}+\alpha c(\pi,\mu)+(1-\alpha)s'(\pi,\mu)+\gamma s(\pi,\mu), \qquad (5)$$

where $\alpha=A_{14}/(A_{14}+A_{23})$, $\gamma=-A_{34}/(A_{14}+A_{23})$, and $\theta=0$ if the sign "-" is situated in (3) (case 1), and $\theta=1$ if the sign "+" is situated in (3) (case 2). Thus, for boundary conditions of type I $\alpha=1/2, \gamma=0$, for ones of type II $\alpha=1/2, \gamma\neq 0$, for ones of type III $\alpha\neq 1/2, \gamma=0$, for ones of type IV $\alpha\neq 1/2, \gamma\neq 0$. Obviously, the spectrum of problem (1)+(2) is defined uniquely by a quadruple of parameters $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,q(x))$. The characteristic determinant $\Delta(\mu)$ of problem (1)+(2) defined by formula (5) is called the characteristic determinant corresponding to a collection $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,q(x))$. Throughout the following $||f||$ stands for $||f||_{L_2(0,\pi)}$, $<q>=\frac{1}{\pi}\int_0^\pi q(x)dx$. By $\Gamma(z,r)$ we denote a disk of radius r centered at z. By PW_σ we denote the class of all entire functions $f(z)$ of exponential type not exceeding σ such that $||f(z)||_{L_2(R)}<\infty$, and by PW_σ^- we denote the set of odd functions belonging to PW_σ.

The following theorem establishes the necessary conditions for a characteristic determinant $\Delta(\mu)$.

Theorem 1. *If a function $\Delta(\mu)$ is a characteristic determinant corresponding to a collection $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,q(x))$ then*

$$\Delta(\mu)=(-1)^{\theta+1}+\cos\pi\mu+(\gamma+\frac{\pi<q>}{2})\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}+\frac{f(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where $f(\mu)\in PW_\pi^-$.

Proof. Let $e(x,\mu)$ be the solution to equation (1) satisfying initial conditions $e(0,\mu)=1$, $e'(0,\mu)=i\mu$ and let $K(x,t)$, $K^+(x,t)=K(x,t)+K(x,-t)$, $K^-(x,t)=K(x,t)-K(x,-t)$ be the kernels of transformation [3, pp. 17-18] realizing the representations

$$e(x,\mu)=e^{i\mu x}+\int_{-x}^{x}K(x,t)e^{i\mu t}dt,$$

$$c(x,\mu)=\cos\mu x+\int_0^x K^+(x,t)\cos\mu t dt,$$

$$s(x,\mu)=\frac{\sin\mu x}{\mu}+\int_0^x K^-(x,t)\frac{\sin\mu t}{\mu}dt. \tag{6}$$

It was shown in [7], [8] that

$$c(\pi,\mu)=\cos\pi\mu+\frac{\pi}{2}<q>\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}-\int_0^\pi\frac{\partial K^+(\pi,t)}{\partial t}\frac{\sin\mu t}{\mu}dt, \tag{7}$$

$$s(\pi,\mu)=\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}-\frac{\pi}{2\mu^2}<q>\cos\pi\mu+\int_0^\pi\frac{\partial K^-(\pi,t)}{\partial t}\frac{\cos\mu t}{\mu^2}dt, \tag{8}$$

$$s'(\pi,\mu)=\cos\pi\mu+\frac{\pi}{2}<q>\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}+\int_0^\pi\frac{\partial K^-(\pi,t)}{\partial x}\frac{\sin\mu t}{\mu}dt. \tag{9}$$

Substituting the right-hand parts of (6), (7), (9) in (5), we obtain

$$\Delta(\mu)=(-1)^{\theta+1}+\cos\pi\mu+(\gamma+\tfrac{\pi}{2}<q>)\tfrac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}+$$
$$+\tfrac{1}{\mu}\int_0^\pi[-\alpha\tfrac{\partial K^+(\pi,t)}{\partial t}+(1-\alpha)\tfrac{\partial K^-(\pi,t)}{\partial x}+\gamma K^-(x,t)]\sin\mu t dt.$$

It follows from the last equality and the Paley-Wiener theorem that theorem 1 is valid.

Theorem 2. *Let a function $u(\mu)$ have the form*

$$u(\mu)=(-1)^{\theta+1}+\cos\pi\mu+(\gamma+\frac{\pi q_0}{2})\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu}+\frac{f(\mu)}{\mu}, \tag{10}$$

where $f(\mu)\in PW_\pi^-$. Let γ, q_0, α be arbitrary complex numbers but $\alpha\neq 1/2$, $\alpha\neq 0$, $\alpha\neq 1$. Then there exists a function $q(x)\in L_2(0,\pi)$ such that corresponding to the collection $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,q(x))$ the characteristic determinant $\Delta(\mu)=u(\mu)$ and $<q>=q_0$.

Proof. At first we consider the case $q_0=0$. Denote $u_+(\mu)=u(\mu)-(-1)^{\theta+1}$. Let ε_1 be an arbitrary positive number. Since [9, pp. 115, 125]

$$|f(\mu)|\leq C_1||f(\mu)||_{L_2(R)}e^{\pi|Im\mu|}, \tag{11}$$

we see that there exists a number N large enough for the inequality

$$|u_+(\mu)-\cos\pi\mu|<\varepsilon_1 \tag{12}$$

to be valid on the set $|Im\mu| \leq 1$, $Re\mu \geq N$.

Let $\{\mu_n\}$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ be a strictly monotone increasing sequence of positive numbers such that $|\mu_n - (N + 1/2)| < \varepsilon_1$ if $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $\mu_n = n$ if $n \geq N + 1$. Similarly [10] let us consider the function

$$s(\mu) = \pi \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_n^2 - \mu^2}{n^2} = \frac{\sin \pi\mu}{\mu} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\mu_n^2 - \mu^2}{n^2 - \mu^2}. \tag{13}$$

It is evident that all zeros of $s(\mu)$ are simple and for any n we have the inequality

$$(-1)^n \dot{s}(\mu_n) > 0. \tag{14}$$

It is shown in [10] that

$$\dot{s}(n) = \frac{\pi(-1)^n}{n}(1 + C_0 n^{-2} + O(n^{-4})), \tag{15}$$

where C_0 is a constant. It follows from [10] that

$$s(\mu) = \frac{\sin \pi\mu}{\mu} + O(\mu^{-3}) \tag{16}$$

if $|Im\mu| \leq 1$.

Let us consider the equation

$$\alpha z^2 - u_+(\mu_n) z + (1 - \alpha) = 0. \tag{17}$$

It has the roots

$$c_n^{\pm} = \frac{u_+(\mu_n) \pm \sqrt{u_+^2(\mu_n) - 4\alpha(1 - \alpha)}}{2\alpha}. \tag{18}$$

We denote $\tilde{c}^{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{-4\alpha(1 - \alpha)}/(2\alpha)$. Obviously,

$\tilde{c}^+ = -\tilde{c}^- \neq 0$. Since the functions $\frac{z \pm \sqrt{z^2 - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)}}{2\alpha}$ are continuous in the neighborhood of zero, we see that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that for any $|z| < \sigma$

$$\left|\frac{z + \sqrt{z^2 - 4\alpha(1 - \alpha)}}{2\alpha} - \tilde{c}^+\right| < \varepsilon,$$

$$|\frac{z-\sqrt{z^2-4\alpha(1-\alpha)}}{2\alpha}-\tilde{c}^-|<\varepsilon.$$

Since $\alpha\neq 1/2$, we see that the functions

$$g_n^{\pm}(z)=\frac{(-1)^n+z\pm\sqrt{[(-1)^n+z]^2-4\alpha(1-\alpha)}}{2\alpha}=$$
$$=\frac{(-1)^n+z\pm\sqrt{(1-2\alpha)^2+2(-1)^nz+z^2}}{2\alpha}$$

are continuous in the neighborhood of zero. For definiteness we will count that $\sqrt{(1-2\alpha)^2}=1-2\alpha$. This yields that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for any $|z|<\delta$ $|g_n^-(z)-1|<\varepsilon$ if n is even, and $|g_n^+(z)+1|<\varepsilon$ if n is odd. Let $\varepsilon_1<\delta$ and $\varepsilon_1(1+\pi)<\sigma$. It follows from (12) that for $n=1,\dots,N$ all the numbers c_n^+ are contained in the disk $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^+,\varepsilon)$, and all the numbers c_n^- are contained in the disk $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^-,\varepsilon)$.

If $n\geq N+1$, then for even n all the numbers c_n^- are contained in the disk $\Gamma(1,\varepsilon)$, and for odd n all the numbers c_n^+ are contained in the disk $\Gamma(-1,\varepsilon)$. Let c_n be a root of equation (17) chosen according to the rule formulated below.

Let us consider two cases.

1) the numbers c_n^+ and c_n^- do not lie on the imaginary axis. Then we choose ε ($\varepsilon<1/2$) small enough for one of the disks $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^+,\varepsilon)$, $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^-,\varepsilon)$ to be lain strictly in the right half-plane, and the other disk to be lain strictly in the left half-plane. If $n=1,\dots,N$, then for even n we choose c_n so as the point c_n belongs to one of the disks mentioned above which lies in the right half-plane, and for odd n we choose c_n so as the point c_n belongs to one of the disks mentioned above which lies in the left half-plane. If $n\geq N+1$ we count that for even n $c_n=c_n^-$ and for odd n $c_n=c_n^+$. Then for any $n=1,2,\dots$ $(-1)^nRec_n>0$. It follows from this and (14) that for any n $Rez_n>0$, where

$$z_n=\frac{c_n}{\mu_n\dot{s}(\mu_n)}. \tag{19}$$

2) the numbers $\tilde{c}^+$ and $\tilde{c}^-$ lie on the imaginary axis. Let a line l be a line which passes through the origin and which is parallel to the line

which passes through the points 1 and $\tilde{c}^+$. Then these points lie in the same half-plane relative to the line l, hence, a number ε can be chosen small enough for the disks $\Gamma(1, \varepsilon)$, $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^+, \varepsilon)$ also to be lain strictly in the same half-plane relative to the line l, therefore, by virtue of symmetry, the disks $\Gamma(-1, \varepsilon)$, $\Gamma(\tilde{c}_n^-, \varepsilon)$ lie strictly on the other half-plane relative to the line l. If $n = 1, \dots, N$ then for even n we choose c_n so as the point c_n belongs to the disk $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^+, \varepsilon)$, and for odd n we choose c_n so as the point c_n belongs to the disk $\Gamma(\tilde{c}^-, \varepsilon)$. If $n \geq N + 1$, then for even n we count that $c_n = c_n^-$, and for odd n we count that $c_n = c_n^+$. Then for all even n the points c_n lie strictly in the same half-plane relative to the line l and for all odd n the points c_n lie strictly in the other half-plane relative to the line l. This, together with (14), yields that for all n the numbers z_n lie strictly in the same half-plane relative to the line l.

Let us set $F(x, t) = F_0(x, t) + \hat{F}(x, t)$, where

$$F_0(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{2c_n}{\mu_n \dot{s}(\mu_n)} \sin \mu_n x \sin \mu_n t - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin nx \sin nt \right),$$

$$\hat{F}(x,t) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2c_n}{\mu_n \dot{s}(\mu_n)} \sin \mu_n x \sin \mu_n t - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin nx \sin nt \right). \tag{20}$$

Clearly, $F_0(x, t) \in C^\infty(R^2)$. Let us consider the function $\hat{F}(x, t)$. For convenience we denote $R(n) = 2(-1)^n f(n)/n + f^2(n)/n^2$. If $n \geq N+1$, then from (18) and the rule of choice of the roots of equation(17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_n = {}& \tfrac{(-1)^n + f(n)/n - (-1)^n \sqrt{[(-1)^n + f(n)/n]^2 - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)}}{2\alpha} = \\ & = \tfrac{(-1)^n + f(n)/n - (-1)^n \sqrt{(1-2\alpha)^2 + R(n)}}{2\alpha} = \\ & = \tfrac{(-1)^n + f(n)/n - (-1)^n [(1-2\alpha) + R(n)/(2(1-2\alpha)) + O(R^2(n))]}{2\alpha} = \\ & = (-1)^n - \tfrac{f(n)}{(1-2\alpha)n} + O(f^2(n)/n^2). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It follows from (11), (15), (20), (21) that

$$\hat{F}(x,t) = \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{1-(-1)^n\frac{f(n)}{(1-2\alpha)n}+O(f^2(n)/n^2)}{1+c_0/n^2+O(1/n^4)} - 1\right)\sin nx \sin nt =$$

$$= \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi}[(1-(-1)^n\frac{f(n)}{(1-2\alpha)n} + O(1/n^2))\times$$

$$(1 - c_0/n^2 + O(1/n^4)) - 1]\sin nx \sin nt =$$

$$= \tfrac{2}{\pi}\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}((-1)^{n+1}\frac{f(n)}{(1-2\alpha)n} + O(1/n^2))\sin nx \sin nt =$$

$$= (\hat{G}(x-t) - \hat{G}(x+t))/2,$$

where

$$\hat{G}(y) = \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}((-1)^{n+1}\frac{f(n)}{(1-2\alpha)n} + O(1/n^2))\cos ny.$$

By virtue of the Paley-Wiener theorem and the Parseval equality, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|f(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{2}||f(\mu)||_{L_2(R)},$$

hence, $\hat{G}(y) \in W_2^1[0,2\pi]$. Thus, we obtain

$$F(x,t) = F_0(x,t) + (\hat{G}(x-t) - \hat{G}(x+t))/2, \qquad (22)$$

where the functions $F_0(x,t)$ and $\hat{G}(y)$ belong to the classes mentioned above.

Let us now consider the Gelfand-Levitan equation

$$K(x,t) + F(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,t)F(s,t)ds = 0 \qquad (23)$$

and prove that it is uniquely solvable in $L_2(0,x)$ for every $x \in [0,\pi]$. To this end it is sufficient to prove that the corresponding homogeneous equation has the trivial solution only.

Let $f(t) \in L_2(0,x)$ and

$$f(t) + \int_0^x F(s,t)f(s)ds = 0.$$

Similarly [10] multiplying this equation by $\bar{f}(t)$ and integrating the resulting equation over the $[0,x]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^x |f(t)|^2 dt + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2c_n}{\mu_n \dot{s}(\mu_n)} \int_0^x \bar{f}(t)\sin\mu_n t dt \int_0^x f(s)\sin\mu_n s ds - \\ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^x \bar{f}(t)\sin nt dt \int_0^x f(s)\sin ns ds = 0.$$

This, together with the Parseval equality for the system $\{\sin nt\}_1^{\infty}$ on the segment $[0,\pi]$, yields

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n \left| \int_0^x f(t)\sin\mu_n t dt\right|^2 = 0,$$

where the numbers z_n are defined by (19). Since all z_n are situated strictly in the same half-plane relative to a line which passes through the origin, we see that $\int_0^x f(t)\sin\mu_n t dt = 0$ for any $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Since [11, 12] the system $\{\sin\mu_n t\}_1^{\infty}$ is complete on the segment $[0,\pi]$, it follows that $f(t) \equiv 0$ on the segment $[0,x]$.

Let $\hat{K}(x,t)$ be the unique solution of equation (23). Let us set $\hat{q}(x) = 2\frac{d}{dx}\hat{K}(x,x)$. It follows from (22) [10] that $\hat{q}(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$. We denote by $\hat{s}(x,\mu)$, $\hat{c}(x,\mu)$ the fundamental system of solutions to equation (1) with the potential $\hat{q}(x)$ and the initial conditions $\hat{s}(0,\mu) = \hat{c}'(0,\mu) = 0$, $\hat{c}(0,\mu) = \hat{s}'(0,\mu) = 1$. Repeating the reasons of [10], we obtain that $\hat{s}(\pi,\mu) \equiv s(\mu)$, therefore, the numbers μ_n^2 form the spectrum of the Dirichlet problem for equation (1) with the potential $\hat{q}(x)$. Similarly [10] we also obtain that $\hat{c}(\pi,\mu_n) = c_n$. This, together with identity (4), yields $\hat{s}'(\pi,\mu_n) = 1/c_n$.

It follows from (8), (16) and the Riemann lemma [3, p. 36] that $<\hat{q}>= 0$.

Let $\hat{\Delta}(\mu)$ be the characteristic determinant corresponding to the collection $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,\hat{q}(x))$. Let us prove that $\hat{\Delta}(\mu) \equiv u(\mu)$. According to theorem 1, the function $\hat{\Delta}(\mu)$ may be represented in the form

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + \gamma\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{\hat{f}(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where $\hat{f}(\mu) \in PW_\pi^-$. Taking into account (5) and remembering that the numbers c_n are the roots of equation (17), we obtain

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mu_n) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \alpha\hat{c}(\pi, \mu_n) + (1-\alpha)\hat{s}'(\pi, \mu_n) + \gamma\hat{s}(\pi, \mu_n) = \\ = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \alpha c_n + (1-\alpha)/c_n = (-1)^{\theta+1} + u_+(\mu_n) = u(\mu_n).$$

This implies that the function

$$\Phi(\mu) = \frac{u(\mu) - \hat{\Delta}(\mu)}{s(\mu)} = \frac{f(\mu) - \hat{f}(\mu)}{\mu s(\mu)}$$

is an entire function in the complex plane. Since the function $g(\mu) = f(\mu) - \hat{f}(\mu)$ belongs to PW_π^-, it follows from (11) that

$$|g(\mu)| \leq C_2 e^{\pi|Im\mu|}. \tag{24}$$

It follows from (13) that

$$|\mu s(\mu)| \geq C_3 e^{\pi|Im\mu|} \tag{25}$$

($C_3 > 0$) if $|Im\mu| \geq 1$. This yields $|\Phi(\mu)| \leq C_2/C_3$ if $|Im\mu| \geq 1$.

We denote by H the union of vertical segments $\{z : |Rez| = n + 1/2, |Imz| \leq 1\}$, where $n = N+1, N+2, \ldots$. It follows from (13) that $|\mu s(\mu)| \geq C_4 > 0$ if $\mu \in H$. From the last inequality, (24), (25) and the Maximum Principle we obtain that $|\Phi(\mu)| \leq C_5$ in the strip $|Im\mu| \leq 1$, hence, the function $\Phi(\mu)$ is bounded in the whole complex plane and, by virtue of Liouville's theorem, it is a constant. Let $|Im\mu| = 1$. Then it follows from the Paley-Wiener theorem and the Riemann lemma that $\lim_{|\mu|\to\infty} g(\mu) = 0$, hence, $\Phi(\mu) \equiv 0$.

Suppose that $q_0 \neq 0$. Similarly [10] we consider the function $\tilde{u}(\mu) = u(\sqrt{\mu^2 + q_0})$. Since $\sqrt{\mu^2 + q_0} = \mu + q_0/(2\mu) + O(\mu^{-3})$, we have

$$\cos\pi\sqrt{\mu^2 + q_0} = \cos\pi\mu + (-\pi q_0/(2\mu) + O(\mu^{-3}))\sin\pi\mu + O(\mu^{-2})\cos\pi\mu,$$

$$\frac{\sin\pi\sqrt{\mu^2 + q_0}}{\sqrt{\mu^2 + q_0}} = \frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + O(\mu^{-2})\cos\pi\mu + O(\mu^{-3})\sin\pi\mu.$$

This, together with (10), yields

$$\tilde{u}(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + \gamma\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(\mu) = \frac{\mu f(\sqrt{\mu^2+q_0})}{\sqrt{\mu^2+q_0}} + O(\mu^{-1})\cos\pi\mu + O(\mu^{-2})\sin\pi\mu.$$

It is evident that $\tilde{f}(\mu) \in L_2(R)$. We have proved that there exists the function $\tilde{q}(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ such that corresponding to the collection $(\alpha, \gamma, \theta, \tilde{q}(x))$ the characteristic determinant $\tilde{\Delta}(\mu) = \tilde{u}(\mu)$ and $<\tilde{q}>= 0$. It is readily seen that the function $\Delta(\mu) = \tilde{\Delta}(\sqrt{\mu^2 - q_0})$ is the characteristic determinant corresponding to the collection $(\alpha, \gamma, \theta, \tilde{q}(x) + q_0)$. Since $<\tilde{q}+q_0>= q_0$, $\Delta(\mu) = \tilde{\Delta}(\sqrt{\mu^2-q_0}) = \tilde{u}(\sqrt{\mu^2-q_0}) = u(\mu)$, we see that the function $q(x) = \tilde{q}(x) + q_0$ has all required properties. Theorem 2 is proved.

It is known [3, pp. 68, 80] that the eigenvalues of problem (1)+ (2) form two series

$$\lambda_0 = \mu_0^2, \quad \lambda_{n,j} = (2n + o(1))^2 \tag{26}$$

(in case 1) and

$$\lambda_{n,j} = (2n - 1 + o(1))^2 \tag{27}$$

(in case 2); in both cases $j = 1, 2, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We denote $\mu_{n,j} = \sqrt{\lambda_{n,j}} = 2n - \theta + o(1)$. It follows from [1, p. 74] that asymptotic formulas (26), (27) can be made more precise. Namely, we have

$$\mu_{n,j} = 2n - \theta + O(n^{-1/2}). \tag{28}$$

It is clear that $|\mu_{n,1} - \mu_{n,2}| = O(n^{-1/2})$. If the set of simple eigenvalues is finite, then the spectrum of problem (1)+ (2) is called asymptotically multiple. If the set of multiple eigenvalues is finite, then the spectrum of problem (1)+(2) is called asymptotically simple.

Many authors studied the spectrum of problems of type I [3], [4]. In particular, it is known a lot of examples of potentials $q(x)$ that ensure an asymptotically multiple spectrum. The spectrum of any problem of type II (even if $q(x) \in L_1(0,\pi)$) is asymptotically simple [13].

Properties of the spectrum of problems of types III and IV have been investigated considerably less. If $q(x) \equiv 0$, then the spectrum of any problem of type III is asymptotically multiple and the spectrum of any problem of type IV is asymptotically simple [2]. For problems of type III there exist examples of potentials $q(x) \not\equiv 0$ that ensure an asymptotically multiple spectrum. It was shown in [13] that the root function system of problem (1)+(2) with boundary conditions of type III or IV forms a Riesz basis in $L_2(0,\pi)$ if and only if when the spectrum is asymptotically multiple. In the author's opinion, of great interest is the problem to give a description of the set of potentials $q(x)$ that ensure an asymptotically multiple spectrum for problems of type III or IV, i.e. under the condition $\alpha \neq 1/2$.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that a collection* $(\alpha, \gamma, \theta, q(x))$*, where* $\alpha \neq 1/2$*, determines a characteristic determinant* $\Delta(\mu)$ *represented in the form*

$$\Delta(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + (\gamma + \frac{\pi < q >}{2})\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{f(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where $f(\mu) \in PW_{\pi}^{-}$. *Suppose also that the spectrum of the Dirichlet problem with the potential* $q(x)$ *is simple and zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem. Then for any* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that for any function* $\tilde{f}(\mu) \in PW_{\pi}^{-}$ *satisfying the condition* $||f(\mu) - \tilde{f}(\mu)||_{L_2(R)} < \delta$ *there exists a potential* $\tilde{q}(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ *such that* $||q(x) - \tilde{q}(x)|| < \varepsilon$ *and the function*

$$\tilde{\Delta}(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + (\gamma + \frac{\pi < \tilde{q} >}{2})\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\mu)}{\mu}$$

is the characteristic determinant corresponding to the collection $(\alpha, \gamma, \theta, \tilde{q}(x))$ *and* $< \tilde{q} > = < q >$.

Proof. Let μ_n $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ be zeros of the function $s(x, \mu)$. It is well known that

$$\mu_n = n + O(n^{-1}). \tag{29}$$

It follows from (8) and (29) that for all sufficiently large n $|\dot{s}(\pi, \mu_n)| > C_1/|\mu_n|$ $(C_1 > 0)$. This, together with the simplicity of the Dirichlet problem spectrum, yields $|\dot{s}(\pi, \mu_n)| > C_2/|\mu_n|$ $(C_2 > 0)$ for all n. Thus, we obtain

$$|\mu_n \dot{s}(\pi, \mu_n)| > C_3 > 0. \tag{30}$$

for any n.

Set

$$F(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n \sin \mu_n x \sin \mu_n t - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin nx \sin nt),$$

where

$$u_n = \frac{2c(\pi, \mu_n)}{\mu_n \dot{s}(\mu_n)}.$$

It is readily seen that $F(x,t) = (G(x-t) - G(x+t))/2$, where

$$G(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_n \cos \mu_n y - \frac{2}{\pi} \cos ny).$$

We denote

$$\tilde{F}(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tilde{u}_n \sin \mu_n x \sin \mu_n t - \frac{2}{\pi} \sin nx \sin nt),$$

where $\tilde{u}_n$ are some coefficients. Trivially, $\tilde{F}(x,t) = (\tilde{G}(x-t) - \tilde{G}(x+t))/2$,

$$\tilde{G}(y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\tilde{u}_n \cos \mu_n y - \frac{2}{\pi} \cos ny).$$

We denote $g(\mu) = f(\mu) - \tilde{f}(\mu)$, where $\tilde{f}(\mu)$ is an arbitrary function from PW_π^- such that $\sigma = ||g(\mu)||_{L_2(R)} < 1$.

Relation (29), combined with the reasons of [3, pp. 61-62], implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(\mu_n)|^2 \leq C_4 \sigma^2. \tag{31}$$

Later on we will use the following

Proposition 1. *If* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < C^2$*, where* a_n *are arbitrary nonnegative numbers, then* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} \leq \frac{3}{2}C$*.*

This proposition is a trivial corollary of the elementary inequality $ab \leq (\epsilon a^2 + \epsilon^{-1} b^2)/2$ $(a, b, \epsilon > 0)$ and the well-known equality $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} = \pi^2/6$.

We denote $R(y) = G(y) - \tilde{G}(y)$, $v_n = u_n - \tilde{u}_n$. Suppose

$$|v_n| \leq C_5 |g(\mu_n)|/|\mu_n| \tag{32}$$

$(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$. Let us prove that the estimates

$$|R(y)| \leq \tilde{C}\sigma \tag{33}$$

$(0 \leq y \leq 2\pi)$ and

$$||R'(y)||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} \leq \tilde{C}\sigma \tag{34}$$

hold. At first, we will obtain estimate (33). Using (31), (32) and proposition 1, we have

$$|R(y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |v_n| |\cos \mu_n y| \leq C_6 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g(\mu_n)|/n \leq C_7 \sigma.$$

Let us estimate the function $R'(y)$. Evidently, $R'(y) = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sin \mu_n y$, where $h_n = \mu_n v_n$. It follows from (31) and (32) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^2 \leq C_8 \sigma^2. \tag{35}$$

It is readily seen that

$$\begin{gathered} ||R'(y)||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} = ||\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sin \mu_n y||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} \leq \\ \leq ||\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n (\sin \mu_n y - \sin ny)||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} + ||\textstyle\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sin ny||_{L_2(0,2\pi)}. \end{gathered} \tag{36}$$

It follows from (29), (35) and proposition 1 that

$$||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(\sin \mu_n y - \sin ny)||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} =$$
$$= 2||\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sin\tfrac{(\mu_n - n)y}{2}\cos\tfrac{(\mu_n+n)y}{2}||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} \le C_9 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tfrac{|h_n|}{n} \le C_{10}\sigma.$$

Evaluating the second summand in the right-hand part of (36) by the Parseval equality, using (35), (36) and the last inequality, we get estimate (34).

Fix an arbitrary natural number N_0. Similarly, one can prove the following assertion. If for $n > N_0$ estimate (32) holds and for $n = 1, \ldots, N_0$ the estimate

$$|v_n| \le C_{11}\sqrt{\sigma} \tag{32'}$$

holds, then the estimates

$$|R(y)| \le \tilde{C}_1\sqrt{\sigma} \tag{33'}$$

$(0 \le y \le 2\pi)$ and

$$||R'(y)||_{L_2(0,2\pi)} \le \tilde{C}_1\sqrt{\sigma} \tag{34'}$$

are valid.

We denote $\Delta_+(\mu) = \Delta(\mu) - (-1)^{\theta+1}$. Then

$$\Delta_+(\mu_n) = \cos\pi\mu_n + (\gamma + \frac{\pi}{2} < q >)\frac{\sin\pi\mu_n}{\mu_n} + \frac{f(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}. \tag{37}$$

It follows from (5) that

$$\Delta_+(\mu_n) = \alpha c(\pi,\mu_n) + (1-\alpha)s'(\pi,\mu_n). \tag{38}$$

We denote

$$\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu) = \cos\pi\mu + (\gamma + \frac{\pi}{2} < q >)\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{\tilde{f}(\mu)}{\mu}. \tag{39}$$

Let us consider 3 cases.

1) $\alpha = 1$. Then, it follows from (38) that $c(\pi,\mu_n) = \Delta_+(\mu_n)$. This, together with (37), yields

$$c(\pi,\mu_n) = \cos\pi\mu_n + (\gamma + \frac{\pi}{2} < q >)\frac{\sin\pi\mu_n}{\mu_n} + \frac{f(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}.$$

It follows from the last equality and (39) that $c(\pi,\mu_n)-\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)=g(\mu_n)/\mu_n$. Set $\tilde{c}_n=\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)$, $\tilde{u}_n=2\tilde{c}_n/(\mu_n\dot{s}(\pi,\mu_n))$, then, it follows from (30) that inequality (32) holds, hence, estimates (33) and (34) are valid.

2) $\alpha=0$. Then, it follows from (38) that $s'(\pi,\mu_n)=\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)$. This, combined with (4), implies that $c(\pi,\mu_n)=1/\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)$. Since $|c(\pi,\mu_n)|\le C_{12}$, we have

$$|\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)|\ge C_{12}^{-1}>0. \tag{40}$$

Notice, that

$$|\Delta_+(\mu_n)-\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)|=|g(\mu_n)|/|\mu_n|\le C_{13}\sigma/|\mu_n|, \tag{41}$$

therefore, it follows from (40) and (41) that $|\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)|\ge(2C_{12})^{-1}$ if σ is sufficiently small. This, together with (40), yields

$$|\Delta_+(\mu_n)\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)|\ge C_{14}>0. \tag{42}$$

Set $\tilde{c}_n=1/\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)$, $\tilde{u}_n=2\tilde{c}_n/(\mu_n\dot{s}(\pi,\mu_n))$, then, it follows from (30), (41), (42) that inequality (32) holds, hence, estimates (33) and (34) are valid.

3) $\alpha\ne 1$, $\alpha\ne 0$. Then, it follows from (38) and (4) that $\Delta_+(\mu_n)=\alpha c(\pi,\mu_n)+(1-\alpha)c^{-1}(\pi,\mu_n)$, hence,

$$\alpha c^2(\pi,\mu_n)-\Delta_+(\mu_n)c(\pi,\mu_n)+1-\alpha=0. \tag{43}$$

We denote $D_+(\mu)=\Delta_+^2(\mu)-4\alpha(1-\alpha)$, $\tilde{D}_+(\mu)=\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)^2-4\alpha(1-\alpha)$. Solving equation (43), we get

$$c(\pi,\mu_n)=\frac{\Delta_+(\mu_n)+(-1)^{\delta_n}\sqrt{D_+(\mu_n)}}{2\alpha},$$

where either $\delta_n=0$ or $\delta_n=1$. We denote

$$\tilde{c}_{n,\tilde{\delta}_n}=\frac{\tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)+(-1)^{\tilde{\delta}_n}\sqrt{\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)}}{2\alpha}, \tag{44}$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_n = 0$ or $\tilde{\delta}_n = 1$. It is clear that the numbers $\tilde{c}_{n,\tilde{\delta}_n}$ are the roots of the equation

$$\alpha z^2 - \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n) z + 1 - \alpha = 0. \qquad (45)$$

It follows from (29), (37) and (39) that

$$\Delta_+(\mu_n) = (-1)^n + r_n, \quad \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n) = (-1)^n + \tilde{r}_n,$$

where

$$r_n = \rho_n + \frac{f(\mu_n)}{\mu_n}, \quad \tilde{r}_n = \rho_n + \frac{\tilde{f}(\mu_n)}{\mu_n},$$

where $|\rho_n| < C_{15}/n$. We denote $W_n = 2(-1)^n r_n + r_n^2$, $\tilde{W}_n = 2(-1)^n \tilde{r}_n + \tilde{r}_n^2$. It is readily seen that there exists a number N_0 such that for any $n > N_0$ $|W_n| + |\tilde{W}_n| < |1 - 2\alpha|^2/10$.

Let us consider the case $n > N_0$. Set $\tilde{\delta}_n = \delta_n$. Since $D_+(\mu_n) = (1 - 2\alpha)^2 + W_n$ and $\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n) = (1 - 2\alpha)^2 + \tilde{W}_n$, we see that

$$|\sqrt{D_+(\mu_n)} + \sqrt{\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)}| \geq C_{16} > 0.$$

It follows from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} |c(\pi, \mu_n) - \tilde{c}_{n,\tilde{\delta}_n}| &= |\tfrac{\Delta_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n) + (-1)^{\delta_n}(\sqrt{D_+(\mu_n)} - \sqrt{\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)})}{2\alpha}| \leq \\ &\leq |\tfrac{\Delta_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)}{2\alpha}| + |\tfrac{D_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)}{2\alpha(\sqrt{D_+(\mu_n)} + \sqrt{\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)})}| \leq \\ &\leq C_{17}|\Delta_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)| \leq C_{18}|g(\mu_n)|/|\mu_n|. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\tilde{c}_n = c_{n,\tilde{\delta}_n}$, $\tilde{u}_n = 2\tilde{c}_n/(\mu_n \dot{s}(\pi, \mu_n))$. It follows from (30) and the last inequality that estimate (32) holds.

Let us consider the case $n = 1, \ldots, N_0$. It follows from the equality $(\sqrt{z_1} + \sqrt{z_2})(\sqrt{z_1} - \sqrt{z_2}) = z_1 - z_2$ that at least one of the inequalities

$$|\sqrt{z_1} + \sqrt{z_2}| \leq |z_1 - z_2|^{1/2}, \quad |\sqrt{z_1} - \sqrt{z_2}| \leq |z_1 - z_2|^{1/2}$$

holds. For any n we choose $\tilde{\delta}_n$ in (44) so that the equality

$$|(-1)^{\delta_n}\sqrt{D_+(\mu_n)} - (-1)^{\tilde{\delta}_n}\sqrt{\tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)}| \leq |D_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)|^{1/2}$$

is valid. It is readily seen that

$$|D_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{D}_+(\mu_n)| \le C_{19}|\Delta_+(\mu_n) - \tilde{\Delta}_+(\mu_n)| \le C_{20}|g(\mu_n)|/|\mu_n|. \quad (46)$$

Set $\tilde{c}_n = \tilde{c}_{n,\tilde{\delta}_n}$, $\tilde{u}_n = 2\tilde{c}_n/(\mu_n\dot{s}(\pi,\mu_n))$. Using (31), we obtain $|g(\mu_n)| \le C_{21}\sigma$. Then, it follows from (30), (46) and the last inequality that inequality (32′) holds, hence, estimates (33′) and (34′) are valid.

Let us now consider the Gelfand-Levitan equation

$$K(x,t) + \tilde{F}(x,t) + \int_0^x K(x,t)\tilde{F}(s,t)ds = 0. \quad (47)$$

Taking into account estimates (33) and (34) (or (33′) and (34′)) and arguing as in [10], we see that for all sufficiently small σ equation (47) has the unique solution $\tilde{K}(x,s)$, the function $\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{2d\tilde{K}(x,x)}{dx}$ belongs to $L_2(0,\pi)$ and the inequality $||q-\tilde{q}|| < \hat{C}\sqrt{\sigma}$ holds. This implies that for all sufficiently small σ $||q-\tilde{q}|| < \varepsilon$, where ε is an arbitrary preassigned positive number.

We denote by $\tilde{s}(x,\mu)$, $\tilde{c}(x,\mu)$ the fundamental system of solutions to equation (1) with the potential $\tilde{q}(x)$ and the initial conditions $\tilde{s}(0,\mu) = \tilde{c}'(0,\mu) = 0$, $\tilde{c}(0,\mu) = \tilde{s}'(0,\mu) = 1$.

As for Theorem 2, we obtain $\tilde{s}(\pi,\mu) \equiv s(\pi,\mu)$, hence, the numbers μ_n^2 form the Dirichlet problem spectrum for equation (1) with the potential $\tilde{q}(x)$. In the same way, we get $\tilde{c}(\pi,\mu_n) = \tilde{c}_n$. This, combined with identity (4), yields $\tilde{s}'(\pi,\mu_n) = 1/\tilde{c}_n$.

It follows from asymptotic representation (8) written for the functions $s(\pi,\mu)$ and $\tilde{s}(\pi,\mu)$ that $< q > = < \tilde{q} >$.

Let $\hat{\Delta}(\mu)$ be the characteristic determinant corresponding to the collection $(\alpha,\gamma,\theta,\tilde{q}(x))$. Let us prove that $\hat{\Delta}(\mu) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}(\mu)$. By Theorem 1, for the function $\hat{\Delta}(\mu)$ we have a representation

$$\hat{\Delta}(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + (\gamma + \frac{\pi < \tilde{q} >}{2})\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{\hat{f}(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where $\hat{f}(\mu) \in PW_\pi^-$. Taking into account (5), using that the numbers $\tilde{c}_n$ are the roots of equation (45), repeating arguments of Theorem 2, we obtain $\hat{\Delta}(\mu_n) = \tilde{\Delta}(\mu_n)$. Then, as for Theorem 2, we get $\hat{\Delta}(\mu) \equiv \tilde{\Delta}(\mu)$. Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2 [8]. *For any function $q(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $q_\varepsilon(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ such that $||q(x) - q_\varepsilon(x)|| < \varepsilon$, the spectrum of the Dirichlet problem with the potential $q_\varepsilon(x)$ is simple and zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem.*

Lemma 3. *Suppose the Dirichlet problem with a potential $q(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ has a simple spectrum and zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any function $\tilde{q}(x) \in L_2(0,\pi)$ satisfying $||q(x) - \tilde{q}(x)|| < \delta$ the Dirichlet problem with the potential $\tilde{q}(x)$ also has a simple spectrum and zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_n = \mu_n^2$ ($Re\mu_n \geq 0$) be the eigenvalues of the Dirichlet problem with the potential $q(x)$. It is clear that there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $|\mu_n| > c_1$ and $|\mu_i - \mu_j| > c_1$ if $i \neq j$. Let $s(x,\mu)$ be the solution to equation (1) defined above, $\Gamma = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma(\mu_n, c_1/3)$. Then [14, p. 87] outside Γ we have the estimate

$$|s(\pi,\mu)| \geq c_2/(|\mu|+1). \tag{48}$$

Let $\tilde{q}(x)$ be a function such that $||\tilde{q}(x)|| \leq 2||q|| + 1$. Let $\tilde{s}(x,\mu)$ be the solution to the equation $u'' - \tilde{q}(x)u + \mu^2 u = 0$ satisfying the same initial conditions as the function $s(x,\mu)$. Then, it follows from [15, p. 46] and the trivial inequality $\int_0^\pi |\tilde{q}(x)|dx \leq \sqrt{\pi}||\tilde{q}||$ that for all μ such that

$$|\mu| > 2\sqrt{\pi}||\tilde{q}|| + 1 \tag{49}$$

the estimate

$$|\tilde{s}(\pi,\mu) - \frac{\sin \pi\mu}{\mu}| \leq \frac{c_3 e^{|Im\mu|\pi}}{|\mu|^2} \tag{50}$$

is valid (a constant c_3 does not depend on $\tilde{q}(x)$).

Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be a root of the function $\tilde{s}(\pi,\mu)$. Suppose $|Im\tilde{\mu}| > 1$, then $|\sin \pi\tilde{\mu}| \geq c_4 e^{|Im\tilde{\mu}|\pi}$ ($c_4 > 0$). Suppose also that $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies (49), then it follows from the last inequality and (50) that $|\tilde{\mu}| < c_3/c_4$, hence, $|Im\tilde{\mu}| < c_3/c_4$. This implies that outside of the strip $|Im\mu| \leq c_3/c_4$ the function $\tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)$ has no roots.

Let us study properties of the function $\tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)$ inside the strip $|Im\mu| \leq c_3/c_4 + c_1/3$. It follows from (6) and [7]

$$|s(\pi, \mu) - \tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)| \leq c_5||q - \tilde{q}||/(|\mu| + 1). \tag{51}$$

It follows from (48) and (51) that under the condition

$$||q - \tilde{q}|| \leq c_2/(2c_5) \tag{52}$$

the function $\tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)$ has no roots outside of Γ, therefore, all the roots of the function $\tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)$ lie inside Γ. Combining (48), (51) and the Rouch*é* theorem, we obtain that under condition (52) the function $\tilde{s}(\pi, \mu)$ has a unique root inside each disk $\Gamma(\mu_n, c_1/3)$. This completes the proof of lemma 3.

It follows from equality (5), asymptotic formula (28) and the Hadamard theorem [16, p. 259] that

$$\Delta(\mu) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}(\mu_0^2 - \mu^2)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu_{n,1}^2 - \mu^2)(\mu_{n,2}^2 - \mu^2)}{16n^4} \tag{53}$$

if $\theta = 0$ and

$$\Delta(\mu) = 2\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\mu_{n,1}^2 - \mu^2)(\mu_{n,2}^2 - \mu^2)}{(2n-1)^4} \tag{54}$$

if $\theta = 1$.

Lemma 4 [6]. *Suppose the numbers $\mu_{n,j}$ satisfy asymptotic relations*

$$\mu_{n,j} = 2n - \theta + \frac{B_j}{2n - \theta} + \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{n},$$

where $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\delta_{n,j}|^2 < \infty$ $(j = 1, 2)$, then the function $\Delta(\mu)$ can be represented in the form

$$\Delta(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos\pi\mu + \frac{\pi}{2}(B_1 + B_2)\frac{\sin\pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{f(\mu)}{\mu},$$

where $f(\mu) \in PW_\pi^-$.

Consider two sequences

$$\mu_{n,j} = 2n - \theta + \frac{V_1}{2n-\theta} + \frac{V_2}{(2n-\theta)^2} + \frac{\delta_{n,j}}{n^2},$$

where V_1 and V_2 are arbitrary complex numbers and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_{n,j}|^2 < \infty$ $(j = 1, 2)$. Let μ_0 be an arbitrary complex number and let a function $\Delta(\mu)$ be determined by (53) or (54). We denote $\tilde{\mu}_0 = \mu_0$, $\tilde{\mu}_{n,j} = \mu_{n,j}$ if $n = \overline{1, N}$ and

$$\tilde{\mu}_{n,1} = \tilde{\mu}_{n,2} = 2n - \theta + \frac{V_1}{2n-\theta} + \frac{V_2}{(2n-\theta)^2}$$

if $n = N+1, N+2, \ldots$, where N is an arbitrary number. Set

$$\Delta_N(\mu) = \frac{\pi^2}{2}(\tilde{\mu}_0^2 - \mu^2) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_{n,1}^2 - \mu^2)(\tilde{\mu}_{n,2}^2 - \mu^2)}{16n^4} \tag{55}$$

if $\theta = 0$ and

$$\Delta_N(\mu) = 2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\tilde{\mu}_{n,1}^2 - \mu^2)(\tilde{\mu}_{n,2}^2 - \mu^2)}{(2n-1)^4} \tag{56}$$

if $\theta = 1$.

Lemma 5. *In both cases*

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} ||\mu(\Delta(\mu) - \Delta_N(\mu))||_{L_2(R)} = 0.$$

Proof. By lemma 4, the function $\Delta(\mu)$ can be represented in the form

$$\Delta(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos \pi\mu + \pi V_1 \frac{\sin \pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{f(\mu)}{\mu}, \tag{57}$$

where $f(\mu) \in PW_\pi^-$, and the function $\Delta_N(\mu)$ can be represented in the form

$$\Delta_N(\mu) = (-1)^{\theta+1} + \cos \pi\mu + \pi V_1 \frac{\sin \pi\mu}{\mu} + \frac{f_N(\mu)}{\mu}, \tag{58}$$

where $f_N(\mu) \in PW_\pi^-$. We denote $F_N(\mu) = \mu(\Delta(\mu) - \Delta_N(\mu))$. It follows from (57) and (58) that $F_N(\mu) = f(\mu) - f_N(\mu)$. It is readily seen that

$$|Im\mu_{n,j}| \le M, \quad |Im\tilde{\mu}_{n,j}| \le M, \quad |\mu_{n,j}^2 - \tilde{\mu}_{n,j}^2| < C_0. \tag{59}$$

We denote by l the line $Imz = (M + 2)(C_0 + 1)$. Let $\mu \in l$, then $\Delta(\mu) \neq 0$ and, hence, we have

$$F_N(\mu) = \mu\Delta(\mu)\left(1 - \frac{\Delta_N(\mu)}{\Delta(\mu)}\right) = \mu\Delta(\mu)\left(1 - \prod_{n=N+1}^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{2}(1 + \frac{\tilde{\mu}_{n,j}^2 - \mu_{n,j}^2}{\mu_{n,j}^2 - \mu^2})\right). \tag{60}$$

It follows from (57) and (11) that

$$|\Delta(\mu)| < c_1. \tag{61}$$

We denote $\alpha_{n,j}(\mu) = \frac{\tilde{\mu}_{n,j}^2 - \mu_{n,j}^2}{\mu_{n,j}^2 - \mu^2}$ $(j = 1, 2)$. It follows from (59) that

$$|\alpha_{n,j}(\mu)| < 1/4. \tag{62}$$

We denote $W_{N,j}(\mu) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \ln(1 + \alpha_{n,j}(\mu))$. Here we take the branch of $\ln(1 + z)$ which vanishes for $z = 0$. Let us estimate the functions $\alpha_{n,j}(\mu)$ and $W_{N,j}(\mu)$. First of all, notice, that they are even, therefore, one can consider only the case $Re\mu \ge 0$. Obviously,

$$|\tilde{\mu}_{n,j}^2 - \mu_{n,j}^2| \le c_2|\delta_n|/n. \tag{63}$$

It is not hard to prove that for all $n > N_0$, where N_0 is a sufficiently large number, we have

$$|\mu_{n,j} + \mu| > |\mu|, \quad |\mu_{n,j} - \mu| > |2n - \theta - \mu|/2. \tag{64}$$

It follows from (63) and (64) that if $n > N_0$, then

$$|\alpha_{n,j}(\mu)| \le \frac{2c_2|\delta_n|}{n|\mu||2n - \theta - \mu|}. \tag{65}$$

Further we count that $N > N_0$. Using (62), (65) and the elementary inequality $|\ln(1+z)| < 2|z|$, which holds if $|z| < 1/4$, we obtain that

$$\begin{gathered} |W_{N,j}(\mu)| \le \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\ln(1+\alpha_{n,j}(\mu))| \le \\ \le 2\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n,j}(\mu)| \le \frac{4c_2}{|\mu|} \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|}{n|2n-\theta-\mu|}. \end{gathered} \tag{66}$$

It follows from (66) and the trivial inequality $|\delta_n|/n \le (|\delta_n|^2 + n^{-2})/2$ that for all sufficiently large N $|W_{N,j}(\mu)| < 1/8$, hence, $\sum_{j=1}^{2} |W_{N,j}(\mu)| < 1/4$. This, together with the elementary inequality $|1-e^z| < 2|z|$, which holds if $|z| < 1/4$, yields

$$|1 - \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{2} W_{N,j}(\mu))| < 2\sum_{j=1}^{2} |W_{N,j}(\mu)|.$$

It follows from the last inequality, (60), (61) and (66) that

$$|F_N(\mu)| \le c_3|\mu| \sum_{j=1}^{2} |W_{N,j}(\mu)| \le c_4 \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|}{|2n-\theta-\mu|}. \tag{67}$$

To estimate the sum in the right-hand part of (67) we need the elementary inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n-z|^{-2} < \tilde{C}, \tag{68}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ does not depend of $z \in l$. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. We choose $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ small enough for the inequality $\varepsilon_0 \tilde{C} < \varepsilon/10$ to be valid. Using (68), we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|}{n|2n-\theta-\mu|} \le \frac{1}{|\mu|} \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|(|2n-\theta-\mu|+2n-\theta)}{n|2n-\theta-\mu|}| \le \\ \le \frac{1}{|\mu|}[\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\delta_n|/n + \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|(2n-\theta)}{n|2n-\theta-\mu|}|] \le \\ \le \frac{1}{|\mu|}[\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty}(|\delta_n|^2 + n^{-2})/2 + 2\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\delta_n|}{|2n-\theta-\mu|}|] \le \\ \le \frac{1}{|\mu|}[(1/2 + 1/\varepsilon_0)\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\delta_n|^2 + \\ +1/2\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{-2} + \varepsilon_0 \textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|2n-\theta-\mu|}] \le \\ \le \frac{1}{|\mu|}[(1/2 + 1/\varepsilon_0)\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\delta_n|^2 + 1/2\textstyle\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} n^{-2} + \varepsilon/10]. \end{gathered}$$

Evidently, that for all sufficiently large N the right-hand part of the last inequality does not exceed $\varepsilon/|\mu|$. This, combined with (67), implies that for $\mu \in l$ $|F_N(\mu)| \leq C_N/|\mu|$, where $C_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$. This yields

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} ||F_N(\mu)||_{L_2(l)} = 0.$$

It follows from the last relation and [9, p. 115] that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} ||F_N(\mu)||_{L_2(R)} = 0.$$

Lemma 5 is proved.

Lemma 6. *Let $\alpha \neq 1/2$. Let p be an arbitrary odd number, $p = 2l+1$, where $l = 0, 1, \ldots$. Then there exist numbers h_i, g_i $(i = \overline{0, p-1})$ such that for any function $q(x) \in W_1^p[0,\pi]$ satisfying the conditions $q^{(i)}(0) = h_i$, $q^{(i)}(\pi) = g_i$ $(i = \overline{0, p-1})$ we have for the numbers $\mu_{n,j}$ the asymptotic representation*

$$\mu_{n,j} = 2n - \theta + \sum_{m=1}^{l+1} \frac{V_m}{(2n-\theta)^m} + o(n^{-l-1}) \tag{69}$$

$(j = 1, 2)$, moreover, $V_1 = \pi^{-1}(\gamma + \pi < q > /2)$.

Proof. Let $q(x) \in W_1^p[0,\pi]$. It was shown in [3, p. 69] that the characteristic equation $\Delta(\mu) = 0$ can be reduced to the form

$$\{\exp[i\pi\mu + \int_0^\pi \sigma(\mu,t)dt] + Cw(\mu,0)/G(\mu)\}^2 = H(\mu)/(w(\mu,0)w(\mu,\pi)), \tag{70}$$

where

$$C = -(A_{13} + A_{24})/2, \tag{71}$$

$$G(\mu) = -i\mu(A_{14} + A_{23}) + A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi) + A_{34}, \tag{72}$$

$$w(\mu,x) = 2i\mu + \sigma(\mu,x) - \sigma(-\mu,x), \tag{73}$$

$$H(\mu) = C^2 w(\mu,0)w(\mu,\pi) + G(\mu)G(-\mu), \tag{74}$$

$$\sigma(\mu,x) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\sigma_k(x)}{(2i\mu)^k} + \frac{\sigma_p(\mu,x)}{(2i\mu)^p}, \tag{75}$$

$$\sigma_p(\mu, x) = \int_0^x \sigma_{p+1}(x-\xi)e^{-2i\mu\xi}d\xi + o(\mu^{-1}) \tag{76}$$

[3, pp. 60, 61, 69], and the functions $\sigma_k(x)$ are determined by the recursion relations

$$\sigma_1(x) = q(x), \sigma_2(x) = -q'(x), \sigma_{k+1} = -\sigma_k'(x) - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sigma_{k-j}(x)\sigma_j(x). \tag{77}$$

It follows from (77) that

$$\sigma_{k+1}(x) = (-1)^k q^{(k)}(x) + S_{k-2}(x), \tag{78}$$

where $S_{k-2}(x)$ is a polynomial of $q(x), q'(x), \dots, q^{(k-2)}(x)$. It follows from (73) that

$$w(\mu, x) = 2i\mu + O(\mu^{-1}). \tag{79}$$

Using relations (71)-(74) and performing some simple though awkward manipulations, we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} H(\mu) = \tfrac{1}{4}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2 \times \\ \times[2i\mu + \sigma(\mu,0) - \sigma(-\mu,0)][2i\mu + \sigma(\mu,\pi) - \sigma(-\mu,\pi)] + \\ +[-i\mu(A_{14}+A_{23}) + A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi) + A_{34}] \times \\ \times[i\mu(A_{14}+A_{23}) + A_{14}\sigma(\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(-\mu,\pi) + A_{34}] = \\ = \tfrac{i\mu}{2}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2[\sigma(\mu,\pi) - \sigma(-\mu,\pi) + \sigma(\mu,0) - \sigma(-\mu,0)] + \\ +i\mu(A_{14}+A_{23})[A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi) - \\ -A_{14}\sigma(\mu,0) + A_{23}\sigma(-\mu,\pi)] + \\ +A_{34}^2 + \tfrac{1}{4}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2[\sigma(\mu,0) - \sigma(-\mu,0)][\sigma(\mu,\pi) - \sigma(-\mu,\pi)] + \\ +[A_{14}\sigma(\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(-\mu,\pi)][A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0) - A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi)]. \end{gathered} \tag{80}$$

We denote $\sum_{k=1}^{p} \sigma_k(x)/(2i\mu)^k = \hat{\sigma}_p(\mu, x)$.

It follows from (75), (76) and (80) that

$$\begin{gathered}H(\mu)=\tfrac{i\mu}{2}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2[\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,\pi)-\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,\pi)+\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,0)-\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,0)]+\\ +i\mu(A_{14}+A_{23})[A_{14}\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,0)-A_{23}\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,\pi)-\\ -A_{14}\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,0)+A_{23}\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,\pi)]+\\ +A_{34}^2+\tfrac{1}{4}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2[\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,0)-\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,0)][\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,\pi)-\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,\pi)]+\\ +[A_{14}\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,0)-A_{23}\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,\pi)][A_{14}\hat{\sigma}_p(-\mu,0)-A_{23}\hat{\sigma}_p(\mu,\pi)]+o(\mu^{1-p}).\end{gathered}\tag{81}$$

We denote the sum of the first three summands in the right-hand part of the last equality by $H_1(\mu)$ and we denote the sum of the fourth and the fifth summands by $H_2(\mu)$. It follows from (3), (75), (77) that

$$\begin{gathered}H_1(\mu)=\tfrac{1}{2}(A_{13}+A_{24})^2(\sigma_1(\pi)+\sigma_1(0))-\\ -(A_{14}+A_{23})(A_{14}\sigma_1(0)+A_{23}\sigma_1(\pi))+A_{34}^2+\\ +\textstyle\sum_{k=2}^{p}\frac{1-(-1)^k}{2(2i\mu)^{k-1}}[(A_{13}+A_{24})^2(\sigma_k(\pi)+\sigma_k(0))/2-\\ -(A_{14}+A_{23})(A_{14}\sigma_k(0)+A_{23}\sigma_k(\pi)]=\\ =\{\tfrac{1}{2}(A_{14}+A_{23})(A_{14}-A_{23})(q(\pi)-q(0))+A_{34}^2\}+\\ +\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{l}(2i\mu)^{-2m}(A_{14}+A_{23})(A_{14}-A_{23})(\sigma_{2m+1}(\pi)-\sigma_{2m+1}(0))/2\end{gathered}\tag{82}$$

(if $l=0$ the last summand in the right-hand part of (82) is missing).

It is readily seen that $H_2(\mu)$ is an even function. This, together with (75), yields

$$\begin{gathered}H_2(\mu)=\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{2l+1}\mu^{-2m}\sum_{i+j=2m,\ 1\le i,j\le p}(\alpha_{ij}\sigma_i(0)\sigma_j(\pi)+\\ +\beta_{ij}\sigma_i(0)\sigma_j(\pi)+\gamma_{ij}\sigma_i(\pi)\sigma_j(\pi)),\end{gathered}\tag{83}$$

where α_{ij}, β_{ij}, γ_{ij} are some coefficients. We denote the expression in braces in the right-hand part of (82) by H_0.

Let us consider the case $l=0$. It follows from (81)-(83) that $H(\mu)=H_0+H_2(\mu)+o(1)=H_0+o(1)$. This, combined with (79), implies that

$$H(\mu)/(w(\mu,0)w(\mu,\pi))=O(\mu^{-2})\tag{84}$$

and if $H_0=0$, then

$$H(\mu)/(w(\mu,0)w(\mu,\pi))=o(\mu^{-2}).\tag{85}$$

Consider the left-hand part of (70). It follows from (75-77) that

$$\int_0^\pi \sigma(\mu, t)dt = (2i\mu)^{-1} \int_0^\pi q(x)dx + o(\mu^{-1}). \tag{86}$$

Using (3), (71), (72), (79) and the equality

$$(1 + c/\mu + O(\mu^{-2}))^{-1} = 1 - c/\mu + O(\mu^{-2})$$

(c is an arbitrary number), which can easily be checked, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{Cw(\mu,0)}{G(\mu)} = \tfrac{-(A_{13}+A_{24})(2i\mu+O(\mu^{-1}))}{2[-i\mu(A_{14}+A_{23})+A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0)-A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi)+A_{34}]} = \\ &= (-1)^{\theta+1} + \tfrac{A_{34}}{i\mu(A_{13}+A_{24})} + O(\mu^{-2}) = (-1)^{\theta+1}(1 - \tfrac{\gamma}{i\mu} + O(\mu^{-2})). \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

Rough asymptotic relation (28) yields that equation (70) has a root $\mu_k = k + \varepsilon_k$, where $\varepsilon_k = O(k^{-1/2})$, and even k correspond to case 1 ($\theta = 0$), and odd k correspond to case 2 ($\theta = 1$). This, combined with (84), (86) and (87), implies that ε_k is a root of the equation

$$i\pi\varepsilon_k + (2i\mu_k)^{-1} \int_0^\pi q(x)dx = -\gamma/(i\mu_k) + O(\mu_k^{-1}).$$

This yields that $\varepsilon_k = O(k^{-1})$, and if $H_0 = 0$, then, using (85), we get

$$\varepsilon_k = (\pi\mu_k)^{-1}(1/2 \int_0^\pi q(x)dx + \gamma) + o(k^{-1}) = (\pi k)^{-1}(\pi < q > /2 + \gamma) + o(k^{-1}).$$

It follows from the last equality that if $H_0 = 0$, then for the numbers $\mu_{n,j}$ we have the asymptotic representation

$$\mu_{n,j} = 2n - \theta + \frac{\pi^{-1}(\pi < q > /2 + \gamma)}{2n - \theta} + o(n^{-1}). \tag{88}$$

Notice, that $H_0 = 0$ if

$$q(\pi) - q(0) = \frac{2A_{34}^2}{(A_{14} + A_{23})(A_{23} - A_{14})}. \tag{89}$$

Let us consider the general case when l is an arbitrary natural number. Let condition (89) hold. Combining (77), (78), (81), (82) and (83), we obtain

$$H(\mu) = \textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{l} \mu^{-2m}[(2i)^{2m}(A_{14} + A_{23})(A_{14} - A_{23})(q^{(2m)}(\pi) - q^{(2m)}(0))/2+ \\ +P_{2m-2}(0, \pi)] + o(\mu^{-2l}),$$

where $P_{2m-2}(0, \pi)$ is a polynomial of $q(0), \ldots, q^{(2m-2)}(0), q(\pi), \ldots, q^{(2m-2)}(\pi)$. Setting consecutively for $m = 1, 2, \ldots, l$

$$q^{(2m)}(\pi) - q^{(2m)}(0) = \frac{2(2i)^{2m}P_{2m-2}(0, \pi)}{(A_{14} + A_{23})(A_{23} - A_{14})}, \tag{90}$$

we obtain that under the conditions (89), (90) $H(\mu) = o(\mu^{-2l})$. This, together with (79), yields that equation (70) takes the form

$$\{\exp[i\pi\mu + \int_0^{\pi} \sigma(\mu, t)dt] + Cw(\mu, 0)/G(\mu)\}^2 = o(\mu^{-2l-2}). \tag{91}$$

Let us consider the left-hand part of (91). It follows from (75) that

$$\int_0^{\pi} \sigma(\mu, t)dt = \sum_{j=1}^{2l+1} c_j(2i\mu)^{-j} + o(\mu^{-2l-1}), \tag{92}$$

where c_j are some coefficients. It follows from (73), (75) that $w(\mu, 0) = 2i\mu(1 + \varphi(\mu))$, where

$$\varphi(\mu) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\sigma_{2j+1}(0)}{(2i\mu)^{2j+1}} + o(\mu^{-2l-2}). \tag{93}$$

Combining (71), (72) and (92), we obtain

$$Cw(\mu, 0)/G(\mu) = -i\mu(A_{13} + A_{24})(1 + \varphi(\mu)/G(\mu) = \\ = \tfrac{(A_{13}+A_{24})(1+\varphi(\mu))}{A_{14}+A_{23}-(A_{34}+A_{14}\sigma(-\mu,0)-A_{23}\sigma(\mu,\pi))/(i\mu)} = \tfrac{(-1)^{\theta+1}(1+\varphi(\mu))}{1+\psi(\mu)}, \tag{94}$$

where

$$\psi(\mu) = \frac{-A_{34}}{i\mu(A_{14} + A_{23})}(A_{34} + A_{14}\sigma(\mu, 0) - A_{23}\sigma(\mu, \pi)). \tag{95}$$

It was shown above that equation (91) has a root $\mu_k = k + \varepsilon_k$, where $\varepsilon_k = O(k^{-1})$, and even k correspond to case 1 ($\theta = 0$) and odd k correspond to case 2 ($\theta = 1$). It follows from (91), (95) that

$$\exp[i\pi\mu_k + \int_0^\pi \sigma(\mu_k, t)dt] = \frac{(-1)^\theta(1+\varphi(\mu_k))}{1+\psi(\mu_k)} + o(\mu_k^{-l-1}). \tag{96}$$

Using (95) and (96), we get

$$i\pi\varepsilon_k + \int_0^\pi \sigma(\mu_k, t)dt = \ln[1+\varphi(\mu_k) + o(\mu^{-l-1})] - \ln[1+\psi(\mu_k)].$$

It follows from (75), (94), (95) and the last equality that

$$\varepsilon_k = F(\omega)|_{\omega=(k+\varepsilon_k)^{-1}} + o(k^{-l-1}),$$

where $F(\omega) = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} f_j\omega^j$, where f_j are some coefficients. Arguing as in [3, pp. 72-75], we obtain relation (69).

Lemma 6 is proved.

Lemma 7. *For any function $f(x) \in L_2(K)$, where $K = [a, b]$, any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any numbers h_i, g_i ($i = 0, \ldots, m-1$), where m is an arbitrary natural number, there exists a function $\tilde{f}(x) \in C^\infty(K)$ such that $\tilde{f}^{(i)}(a) = h_i$, $\tilde{f}^{(i)}(b) = g_i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, m-1$) and $||f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)||_{L_2(K)} < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Evidently, there exists a trigonometric polynomial $T(x)$ such that

$$||f(x) - T(x)||_{L_2(K)} < \varepsilon/4, \tag{97}$$

and there exist numbers a_0 and b_0 ($a < a_0 < b_0 < b$) such that

$$||T(x)||_{L_2(K-K_0)} < \varepsilon/4, \tag{98}$$

where $K_0 = [a_0, b_0]$. Let $\eta(x)$ be the cut-off function: $\eta(x) = 1$ if $x \in K_0$, $\eta(x) = 0$ if $x \notin K$, $0 \le \eta(x) \le 1$, $\eta(x) \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$. We denote $P_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{h_n}{n!}(x-a)^n$, $P_2(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \frac{g_n}{n!}(x-b)^n$. It is obvious that

$P_1^{(i)}(a) = h_i$, $P_2^{(i)}(b) = g_i$ $(i = 0, \dots, m-1)$. Evidently, there exist segments $K_1 = [a, a_1]$ and $K_2 = [b_1, b]$ $(a < a_1 < b_1 < b)$ such that

$$||P_j(x)||_{L_2(K_j)} < \varepsilon/4 \tag{99}$$

$(j = 1, 2)$. Let us define the cut-off functions $\eta_j(x)$: $\eta_1(x) = 1$ if $x \le a$, $\eta_1(x) = 0$ if $x \ge a_1$, $\eta_2(x) = 1$ if $x \ge b$, $\eta_2(x) = 0$ if $x \le b_1$, $0 \le \eta_j(x) \le 1$, $\eta_j(x) \in C^\infty(-\infty, \infty)$.

Set $\tilde{f}(x) = T(x)\eta(x) + P_1(x)\eta_1(x) + P_2(x)\eta_2(x)$. It is readily seen that $\tilde{f}^{(i)}(a) = h_i$, $\tilde{f}^{(i)}(b) = g_i$ $(i = 0, \dots, m-1)$. It follows from (97)-(99) that

$$||f(x) - \tilde{f}(x)||_{L_2(K)} \le ||f(x) - T(x)||_{L_2(K)} + ||(1 - \eta(x))T(x)||_{L_2(K-K_0)} + \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{2} ||P_j(x)\eta_j(x)||_{L_2(K_j)} < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 7 is proved.

The main result of the present paper is the following

Theorem 3. *If $\alpha \ne 1/2$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a function $\tilde{q}(x) \in L_2(0, \pi)$ such that $||q(x) - \tilde{q}(x)|| < \varepsilon$ and problem (1)+(2) with the potential $\tilde{q}(x)$ has an asymptotically multiple spectrum.*

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$. By lemma 2, there exists a function $q^{[1]}(x) \in L_2(0, \pi)$ such that $||q - q^{[1]}|| < \varepsilon/10$ and the Dirichlet problem with the potential $q^{[1]}(x)$ has a simple spectrum, moreover, zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem. By virtue of lemma 3, there exists δ such that $0 < \delta < \varepsilon/10$ and for any function $\hat{q}(x) \in L_2(0, \pi)$ satisfying the condition $||q^{[1]} - \hat{q}|| < \delta$ the Dirichlet problem with the potential $\hat{q}(x)$ also has a simple spectrum, moreover, zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem.

According to lemmas 6 and 7, there exists a function $q^{[2]}(x) \in C^\infty[0, \pi]$ such that $||q^{[1]} - \hat{q}||$ and for the eigenvalues $\lambda_0 = \mu_0^2$ (if $\theta = 0$), $\lambda_{n,j} = \mu_{n,j}^2$ of problem (1)+(2) with the potential $q^{[2]}(x)$ we have asymptotic relation (69), where $l = 2$ and $V_1 = \pi^{-1}(\gamma + \pi < q^{[2]} > /2)$. For the characteristic determinant $\Delta(\mu)$ of the mentioned problem relations (53), (54)and (57) are valid. Let the functions $\Delta_N(\mu)$ and $f_N(\mu)$ be determined by formulas (55), (56), (58). By lemma 5, it follows that

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} ||f(\mu) - f_N(\mu)||_{L_2(R)} = 0. \tag{100}$$

Since the Dirichlet problem with the potential $q^{[2]}(x)$ has a simple spectrum and zero is not an eigenvalue of this problem, we see that it follows from (100) and lemma 1 that for any $N > N_0$, where N_0 is a sufficiently large number, there exists a potential $q_N(x) \in L_2(0, \pi)$ such that the function $\Delta_N(\mu)$ is the characteristic determinant corresponding to the collection $(\alpha, \gamma, \theta, q_N(x))$, moreover, $\lim_{N\to\infty} ||q^{[2]} - q_N|| = 0$. Since any potential $q_N(x)$ $(N > N_0)$ ensures an asymptotically multiple spectrum of corresponding problem (1)+(2), we see that from the last equality it follows that theorem 3 is valid.

In the case $\alpha = 1/2$, $\gamma = 0$ the analogous proposition was obtained in [17].

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Academician V.A. Il'in for valuable discussions of the results.

References

[1] M.A. Naimark, Linear Differential Operators (Moscow, 1969).

[2] P. Lang, J. Locker, Spectral theory of two-point differential operators determined by $-D^2$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **146**, 148-191 (1990).

[3] V.A. Marchenko, Sturm-Liouville Operators and Their Applications (Kiev, 1977).

[4] F. Gesztesy and R. Weikard, Elliptic algebro-geometric solutions of the KdV and AKNS hierarchies – an analytic approach, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **35**, 271-317 (1998).

[5] O.A. Plaksina, Characterization of the spectrum of some boundary value problems for the Sturm-Liouville operator, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36**, 199-200 (1981).

[6] I.M. Guseinov, I.M. Nabiev, Solutions of a class of inverse Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems, Matem. Sb. **186**, 35-48 (1995).

[7] L.A. Pastur, V.A. Tkachenko, Spectral theory of a class of one-dimensional Schrodinger operators with limit-periodic potentials, Trudy Moscow. Mat. Obshch. **51**, 114-168 (1988).

[8] J.-J. Sansuc and V. Tkachenko, Spectral parametrization of non-selfadjoint Hill's operators, J. Diff. Eq. **125**, 366-384 (1996).

[9] S.M. Nikolskii, Approximation of Functions of Many Variables and Embedding Theorems (Moscow, 1977).

[10] V.A. Tkachenko, Discriminants and generic spectra of nonselfadjoint Hill's operators, Adv. Sov. Math. **19**, 41-71 (1994).

[11] A.M. Sedletskii, The stability of the completeness and of the minimality in L_2 of a system of exponential functions, Mat. Zametki **15**, 213-219 (1974).

[12] A.M. Sedletskii, Convergence of anharmonic Fourier series in systems of exponentials, cosines and sines, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **301**, 1053-1056 (1988).

[13] A.S. Makin, On spectral decompositions corresponding to non-self-adjoint Sturm-Liouville operators, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **406**, 21-24 (2006).

[14] V.Ya. Levin, I.V. Ostrovskii, Small perturbations of the set of roots of sine-type functions, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. **43**, 87-110 (1979).

[15] A.G. Kostuchenko, I.S. Sargsyan, Distribution of Eigenvalues (Moscow, 1979).

[16] E. Titchmarsh, The Theory of Functions (Moscow, 1980).

[17] V.A. Tkachenko, Spectral analysis of non-selfadjoint Hill operator, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **322**, 248-252 (1992).

Moscow State Academy of Instrument-Making and Informatics, Stromynka 20, Moscow, 107996, Russia

E-mail address: alexmakin@yandex.ru